

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 RYAN KENNETH RANDALL,
8

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 LINQ SECURITY *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00338-RFB-EJY

13 **ORDER**

14 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3) of the
15 Honorable Elayna J. Youchah, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on September 25, 2024. A
16 district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations
17 made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the
18 findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a).
19 When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo
20 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations
21 to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party
22 fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or
23 otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,
24 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by October 9, 2024. No
25 objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the
26 Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

27 ///

28 ///

The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE